



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 08/650,834 | 05/20/1996 | STEPHEN C. WREN | WR-6 | 3077 |

23838 7590 01/28/2002

KENYON & KENYON
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

| EXAMINER |
|----------|
|----------|

KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2166

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2002

#34

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/650,834

Applicant(s)

Stephen C. Wren

Examiner
Alexander Kalinowski

Group Art Unit
2166

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Kalinowski

(3) Steven Wren

(2) Dan Salehi

(4) BRIAN MUDGE

Date of Interview Nov 2, 2001

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 30-76

Identification of prior art discussed:

DAGOSTINO, DWORIN

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

APPLICANT AND APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVES DISCUSSED THE CLAIMED INVENTION. FOCUS WAS PLACED ON THE CLAIMED NOVEL FEATURE OF CONNECTING A CUSTOMER REMOTE TERMINAL TO A PLURALITY OF CENTRAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES. THE EXAMINER SUGGESTED THAT APPLICANT FOCUS ARGUMENTS AND ANY AMENDMENTS WITH RESPECT TO THIS FEATURE INCLUDING PROVIDING SUPPORT WITHIN THE ASSOCIATED PRIORITY DOCUMENTS. UPON SUBMISSION OF A FORMAL RESPONSE, THE EXAMINER RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONDUCT A NEW SEARCH FOR PRIOR ART.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.